

On the Relationship Between Natural Frequency and -3dB Bandwidth for a Second-Order System

Second-order, negative feedback systems have both a -3dB (or, half-power) bandwidth and a natural frequency of oscillation. When choosing design characteristics for such systems, it can be useful to know how these parameters are related to each other.

The transfer function for a second-order system is:

$$H(s) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2} \quad (1)$$

where: ω_n is the natural frequency of the loop, in radians/s
 ζ is the loop damping factor, between 0 and 1

Substituting for the frequency domain, we get:

$$h(j\omega) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{(j\omega)^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n(j\omega) + \omega_n^2} \quad (2)$$

This can be re-written as:

$$h(j\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2 + j2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)} \quad (3)$$

To derive the system bandwidth, we first want transfer function magnitude:

$$|h(j\omega)| = \frac{1}{\left[\left(1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)^2 \right)^2 + \left[2\zeta \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right) \right]^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (4)$$

Bandwidth is defined as the point where output power falls to half its low-frequency value:

$$|h(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad (5)$$

Combining (4) and (5), taking the inverse, and collecting terms to one side, we get:

$$\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)^2 \right]^2 + \left[2\zeta \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right) \right]^2 - 2 = 0 \quad (6)$$

If we expand, and re-combine terms, we get:

$$\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^4 + 2\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2(2\zeta^2 - 1) - 1 = 0 \quad (7)$$

This expression is quadratic in $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2$. If we solve the quadratic for the positive root, we get:

$$\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2 = (1 - 2\zeta^2) + \sqrt{(2\zeta^2 - 1)^2 + 1} \quad (8)$$

Now, the 3dB bandwidth is:

$$\omega_{3dB} = \omega_n \sqrt{(1 - 2\zeta^2) + \sqrt{(2\zeta^2 - 1)^2 + 1}} \quad (9)$$

At this point, it's interesting to note that expression (9) is not reported in precisely the same way everywhere. In particular, one version of the PCI-E Base Specification shows plus signs where the above expression shows minus signs¹. This indicates either that there is a typo in that document, or that there is an error in the analysis. To verify this, suppose we try a test case.

A simple test case:

When is Natural Frequency the same as -3dB Bandwidth?

From (9), normalizing and squaring:

$$1 = (1 - 2\zeta^2) + \sqrt{(2\zeta^2 - 1)^2 + 1} \quad (T1)$$

Re-arranging terms:

$$2\zeta^2 = \sqrt{(2\zeta^2 - 1)^2 + 1} \quad (T2)$$

Squaring again, and expanding:

$$4\zeta^4 = 4\zeta^4 - 4\zeta^2 + 1 + 1 \quad (T3)$$

Re-arranging terms:

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = 0.7071 \quad (\text{Critical Damping}) \quad (T4)$$

Notice that the result, (T4), is a 'real' value. When plus signs are substituted in (9), we get an imaginary value for damping factor. A system with an imaginary damping factor is not realizable.

¹ Expression 4-5, page 227 of "PCI Express Base Specification Rev 1.1, March 28 2005", <http://www.pcisig.com/home>